



## Field and First Responders Working Group (FFRWG)

### Highlights FFRWG Leadership Debrief Teleconference May 12, 2011

The 10 presentations and panel discussions of May 2, pointed out that many “disconnects” are apparent both within individual sites as well as across the complex in various areas significant to first responders:

- ICS training, experience, and use across multiple areas within the same complex: fire/rescue, EMS, security, HazMat, etc.
- Much need for standardization of SOPs, EOPs, etc for various operations: HazMat, EMS, active shooter response, etc.
- Resource typing
- EMS protocols and training for rad response incidents
- Standardized ERG similar to that developed by NNSA (Nevada Test Site)
- HazMat response, such as adoption and use of HazMat IQ system (could become the standard)
- Active shooter response plan

The FFRWG sees it as their responsibility to begin the process of fixing these problems. The responsibility is huge, and the payout would be tremendous in terms of information sharing and standardization.

One of our immediate goals would be to get one member from each site and from each of the following disciplines (see Scott Hackler matrix) to be assigned to our group as a regular participant:

- Fire/rescue
- EMS
- HazMat
- Security
- Dispatch
- Duty Officer
- Rad Con
- Industrial Hygiene
- Environmental



## Field and First Responders Working Group (FFRWG)

We realize that some persons may represent more than one discipline for their site. Scott is working to fill in these blanks now.

ICS is the first and greatest need as defined by the FFRWG leadership. The ICS issue has multiple components: training, SOPs, dispatch protocols for response, duty schedules, etc.

Need for HQ involvement (buy-in). As this plan is agreed upon, the leadership would like to brief it to Bill Froh for his ideas and comments. Likely need more funding for group activity/development.

What are the parameters by which a WG becomes a subcommittee?

DDU